#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

# **PCT**

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 040209X105				FOR FURTHER ACTION		See Form PCT/IPEA/416
International application No.				International filing da	te (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)
PCT/JP2004/009194				30.06.200	4	01.07.2003
Internation	nal Patent	Classification	(IPC) or natio	onal classification and	IPC	
	13/1		(0. 0)			
GOOF	13/1	L				
Applicant		- <del></del>				
		RPORATI	CON			
	2 00	0				
				-		
				ninary examination rep e applicant according t		international Preliminary Examining Authority
2. 1	This REP	ORT consists of	of a total of	6	sheets, including	g this cover sheet.
3.	This repo	rt is also accon	npanied by Al	NNEXES, comprising:		
	a. 🛛	(sent to the o	mnlicant and	to the International Bu	reau) a total of 1	sheets, as follows:
		•				mended and are the basis for this report and/or
		sheets of Instruc	•	ctifications authorized	by this Authority (see Ru	le 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative
			•		•	siders contain an amendment that goes beyond in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental
	b	(sent to the I	nternational i	Bureau only) a total of	(indicate type and numbe	r of electronic carrier(s))
	_					, containing a sequence listing and/or tables
				readable form only, a rative Instructions).	s indicated in the Supple	mental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see
4.				ng to the following item	ns:	
[	В	ox No. I	Basis of the	report		
[	В	ox No. II	Priority			
[	В	ox No. III	Non-establi:	shment of opinion with	regard to novelty, invent	ive step and industrial applicability
[	В	ox No. IV	Lack of unit	ty of invention		
	В	ox No. V		atement under Article : d explanations supporti		lty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
[	В	ox No. VI	Certain doc	uments cited		
[	В	ox No. VII	Certain defe	ects in the international	application	
	В	ox No. VIII	Certain obse	ervations on the interna	tional application	
Date of submission of the demand  Date of completion of this report						is report
Date of Sn	10118811011	of the deniand	•		Date of completion of the	istopoit
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/JP					Authorized officer	
Facsimile No.					Telephone No	

Translation

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/009194

Box	No. I	Basis of the report	
1.		regard to the language, this report is based on the internationa ated under this item.	l application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise
		This report is based on translations from the original language	<del></del>
		which is the language of a translation furnished for the purpos international search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b))	ES 01;
	ř	publication of the international application (Rule 12.4)	
	זֿ	international preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 and/or	55.3)
2.	receiv	regard to the elements of the international application, this re	port is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to
		the international application as originally filed/furnished	
		the description:	
	1	pages <u>1-19</u>	as originally filed/furnished
	1	pages*	received by this Authority on
	1	pages*	received by this Authority on
	$\boxtimes$	the claims:	
		nos. <u>2-9</u>	as originally filed/furnished
		nos.*	as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19
			received by this Authority on 28.04.2006
		nos.*	received by this Authority on
	$\boxtimes$	the drawings:	·
			as originally filed/furnished
			received by this Authority on
		sheets*	
		a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplement	dal Box Relating to Sequence Listing.
3.	ָ ע	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:	
	Ĺ	the description, pages	
	Į	the claims, nos.	
	Į	the drawings, sheets/figs	
	اِ	the sequence listing (specify):	
	l	any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):	
4.		This report has been established as if (some of) the amendment they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed	ents annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since d, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).
	L	the description, pages	
	[	the claims, nos.	
	[	the drawings, sheets/figs	
	[	the sequence listing (specify):	
	[	any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):	
*	If item	m 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "super	seded."

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/009194

Box		nt under Article 35(2) with mations supporting such s	h regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; statement	
1.	Statement			
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-9	_ YES
		Claims		_ мо
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims		_ YES
		Claims	1-9	_ NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-9	_ YES
		Claims		_ мо

- 2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)
  - Document 1: JP 2003-518785 A (Fotonation Inc.), 10 June 2003, & WO 2000/001138 A2, & US 6628325 B1
  - Document 2: JP 2003-92787 A (NTT Software Kabushiki Kaisha), 28 March 2003 (Family: none)
  - Document 3: JP 2003-99207 A (Fuji Photo Film Co., Ltd.), 4 April 2003, & US 2003/0061408 A1
  - Document 4: JP 2003-108539 A (Hitachi Kokusai Electric Inc.), 11 April 2003 (Family: none)

The invention set forth in claims 1 to 3, 8, and 9 does not involve an inventive step in the light of the invention disclosed in document 1 cited in the international search report. A comparison of the invention set forth in claims 1 to 3, 8, and 9 and the invention disclosed in document 1 reveals that the two differ in that (a) the invention set forth in claims 1 to 3, 8, and 9 is characterized by storing a script file specified by a script language in a semiconductor integrated circuit device, whereas the invention disclosed in document 1 is characterized by storing a program in a communications device, and (b) in the invention set forth in claims 1 to 3, 8, and 9, script

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/009194

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

file management is opened to the user, but firmware management is restricted.

As regards difference (a), a person skilled in the art could easily conceive of using a script language as the program.

As regards difference (b), restricting user access to specified data is a known constitution, and thus, a person skilled in the art could appropriately allow management of a script file by a user while restricting management of firmware.

Moreover, it is obvious that the communications device disclosed in document 1 is equipped with firmware.

The invention set forth in claims 4 and 5 does not involve an inventive step in the light of document 1 and document 2 cited in the international search report. A person skilled in the art could easily conceive of equipping the communications device disclosed in document 1 with the Web server function disclosed in document 2 (page 5, left column, lines 22 to 36, for example).

The invention set forth in claim 6 does not involve an inventive step in the light of document 1 and document 3 cited in the international search report. A person skilled in the art could easily conceive of equipping the communications device disclosed in document 1 with the USB mass storage class access feature disclosed in document 3 (page 2, right column, lines 29 to 42, for example).

The invention set forth in claim 7 does not involve an inventive step in the light of document 1 and document

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/009194

Box	No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
	4 cited in the international search report. A person
	skilled in the art could easily conceive of equipping the
	communications device disclosed in document 1 with the
	time information acquisition feature using SNTP disclosed
	in document 4 (page 2, left column, line 42 to right
	column, line 1, for example).

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/009194

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

Claims 1 to 9 state that "management of the aforementioned script file is opened to a user, but management of the aforementioned firmware is restricted," but it is unclear what specific processes are meant by said "opened" and "restricted."